

NAVFAC SOLICITATION

NO. N40085-09-R-5083

AMENDMENT NO. 0005

## IMPORTANT

This amendment should be acknowledged when your bid is submitted. Failure to acknowledge the amendment may constitute grounds for rejection of the bid. If your bid has been submitted prior to the receipt of this amendment, acknowledgment should be made by telegram, which should state whether the price contained in your sealed bid is to remain unchanged, is to be decreased by an amount, or is to be increased by an amount. The acknowledgment must be received prior to bid opening time.

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1   11
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0005	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 24-Nov-2009	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) P116
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC HAMPTON ROADS IPT 9742 MARYLAND AVENUE NORFOLK VA 23511	CODE N40085	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <b>See Item 6</b>		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-09-R-5083	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 19-Oct-2009	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  CHANGE PROPOSAL DUE DATE FROM THURSDAY, 03 DEC 2009 AT 2:00 PM (EDT) TO TUESDAY, 08 DEC 2009 AT 2:00 PM (EDT), AS WELL AS ANSWERS TO MULTIPLE REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION (RFIs).				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED  24-Nov-2009

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

The following have been added by full text:

## REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION WITH RESPONSES:

1. Amendment 0001, Description of work (page 3 of 79) states that 4 buildings to receive boiler/mechanical room additions but the list of buildings (SP-12, SP-29, SP-45, SP-383 and SP-356) indicates 5 buildings to receive these additions.

Our review of the Amendment 0002 documents indicates that 5 buildings (SP-12, SP-29, SP-45, SP-132 and SP356) are to have boiler room additions.

Please advise if Amendment 0001 is correct and SP-383 is to receive the boiler room addition or if Amendment 0002 is correct and SP-132 is to receive the boiler room addition.

Reference: Amendment 0001, Description of work (page 3 of 79)

**Response:** There are 5 buildings to receive boiler room additions listed as buildings SP-12, SP-29, SP-45, SP-383, and SP-356, and as indicated in Part 3 Project Program.

2. The RFP calls for existing Mechanical equipment in the M/E rooms to be abandoned in place in lieu being removed is that the intent?

Reference: The feasibility drawings demolition notes talks about abandon equipment in place sheets SP9-M2, SP91-M2, SP124-M2.

**Response:** Provide demolition of steam piping and equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated in Part 3, Chapter 6, Engineering System Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC and F20 *Selective Building Demolition*.

3. Regarding the removal of concrete supports for the above ground steam lines. Are the footings for these supports to be removed or abandoned in place? If they are to be removed what is the typical size and depth below grade?

**Response:** On Dwg. LEG-1 Steam Line Demolition Drawings, the posts are to be removed to the grade. Typical size of these posts are estimated at 14" X 14" square.

4. Will drawings be required for the lighting replacement and plumbing fixture replacement portions of the project?

Note that there appears to be sufficient information contained in the ECM Feasibility Study Detailed Building Breakdowns to accomplish this portion of the work without the additional cost of developing drawings.

Reference section: Design Deliverables

**Response:** Drawings are required; the lighting replacement also requires calculations and room information from the drawings which will be required for the calculations. Plan drawings will be required for the building locations

of plumbing fixture and/or valve placement and changes. Drawings are not required for detailed trap assembly, valve installation hardware, etc...

5. The scope of work mentions new electric domestic water heaters. We do not find these heaters on the referenced drawing (SP-312 M5), nor is there an equipment schedule for them. Please provide a schedule for the water heaters.

Reference section: ESR Section D20 – Building SP-312 which

**Response:** It is the Designer's responsibility to prescribe model and sizing for needed equipment. Within the ECM Feasibility study is sizing and model selection information. However, ultimately it is the designer's responsibility to ensure proper operation.

6. There are conflicting instructions regarding the existing steam piping, steam unit heaters, and steam radiators. Are they to be demolished or abandoned in place?

Reference section: Drawing SP9-M2 (Demolition Notes 1 and 2) and ESR Section for Building SP-9

**Response:** Demolish existing steam piping and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 *Selective Building Demolition*.

7. There are conflicting instructions regarding the existing steam piping, steam unit heaters, and steam radiators. Are they to be demolished or abandoned in place?

Reference section: Drawing SP10-M2 (Demolition Notes 1 and 5) and ESR Section for Building SP-10

**Response:** Demolish existing steam piping and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 *Selective Building Demolition*.

8. There are conflicting instructions regarding the existing steam piping, steam-hot water converter, hangers, and instrumentation. Are they to be demolished or abandoned in place?

Reference section: Drawing SP91-M2 (Demolition Note 1) and ESR Section for Building SP-91

**Response:** Demolish existing steam piping and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 *Selective Building Demolition*.

9. The RFP Part 3 Section D50 "Electrical Calculations" requires engineering calculations for all lighting retrofit locations. Section D50 "CLARIFICATIONS OF "ECM Feasibility Study, Detailed Building Breakdown"" indicates that lighting upgrades are to be accomplished as itemized in the spreadsheets provided, unless engineering calculations indicate otherwise. If the calculations show that applicable Codes, UFCs, and standards are not met, will the contractor be required to provide additional fixtures, conduit, wiring, mounting, etc. to meet required light levels and will there be an opportunity to adjust the contract amount?

**Response:** Lighting calculations are required and additional fixtures are not anticipated.

10. Building V-50 requires 2 new electric unit heaters, 13 new electric baseboard heaters and related controls. During the site walk-through on 10/29/09, the existing electrical service was observed to be insufficient for these new loads. Is the building fed from the service of the nearby Building V-52? If so, is this sub-feed able to

be upgraded to accomplish the required improvements? If not, would the contractor be required to provide new electric service for Building V-50 as part of the work?

**Response:** Upgrading electrical service due to adding electric heaters to a building would be considered out of scope for this project and would have to be considered during the site work and design phase.

11. Building V-50 is a small boathouse with a partially enclosed boat mooring area. The RFP Part 3 (page 11) lists the building as 2,904 sq ft while the Part 4 feasibility study lists the building as 16,161 sq ft. Are the lights in the partially enclosed boat mooring area included in the quantity of 60 lights required to be replaced/upgraded as part of the RFP?

**Response:** The building size for V-50 should be 1,904 sq ft instead of the 16,161 sq ft as listed in Part 3, Subchapter 4.1 *BUILDING TABULATION*.

The V-50 Boat Mooring area is in the scope of the project, this project is considered design build and the lighting calculations may cause the actual quantity to vary slightly.

12. Building V-52 currently has 400W high pressure sodium lights in the high-bay, configured with "highlow" controls. The RFP requires upgrading 96 of these lights with day light harvesting. Are the 400W high pressure sodium lights to be replaced as part of the day light harvesting upgrade, or are they to be re-used with upgraded controls to accomplish this function?

**Response:** Per the "feasibility study" spreadsheet the 96 fixtures are to remain and be used with upgraded controls.

13. The RFP improvements for Building SP-91 include disconnection of the facility from base steam service. The steam piping is to be detached from the facility, and the penetration opening is to be patched to match existing. During the government scheduled site visit on October 27th, engineers observed the overhead steam piping structure supported three conduits. The conduits appear to service 2 HVAC units and an electrical transformer (DDC connection) across a paved driveway and parking lot, and appear to remain necessary after the upgrades of this RFP. Are these conduits required to remain in service? If so should they be rerouted below grade to accomplish the required removal of the overhead steam piping structure?

**Response:** Existing exterior electrical conduits serving SP-91 shall be rerouted below grade to accomplish the required removal of the overhead steam piping structure.

14. The RFP contains no requirements or sections related to fire protection. Will any fire protection be required for the mechanical room additions or any other portions of this project?

**Response:** Fire protection for mechanical room additions shall only be necessary if required by codes or Fire Protection standards.

15. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building SP-9 indicate to demolish existing steam unit heaters. The note on Drawing SP9-M2 indicates existing steam unit heaters shall be abandoned in place. Please clarify what is required.

**Response:** Demolish existing steam piping and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 *Selective Building Demolition*.

16. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building SP-9 indicate to demolish existing floor mounted steam radiators. The note on Drawing SP9-M2 indicates existing steam radiators shall be abandoned in place. Please clarify what is required.

*Response: Demolish existing steam piping and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 Selective Building Demolition.*

17. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building SP-45 indicate to provide a condensate receiver and duplex pump set as shown on drawing SP45-M3. Drawing SP45-M3 does not indicate this addition. Please clarify what is required.

*Response: Drawing SP45-M3 indicates a condensate pump shown as CU-1 on drawing. Condensate pump is necessary to remove steam boiler condensate from new boiler/mechanical room and deliver to existing cooling well. Design-Build contract requires designer to provide a complete and functional steam boiler system necessary to replace central steam system currently in place at SP-45.*

18. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building SP-233 indicate to demolish existing steam unit heaters. The note on Drawing SP233-M2 indicates existing steam unit heaters shall be abandoned in place. Please clarify what is required.

*Response: Demolish existing steam piping, steam unit heaters, and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 Selective Building Demolition.*

19. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building SP-236 indicate to demolish existing steam unit heaters. The notes on Drawing SP236-M2 and SP236-M3 indicate existing steam unit heaters shall be abandoned in place. Please clarify what is required.

*Response: Demolish existing steam piping, steam unit heaters, and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 Selective Building Demolition.*

20. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building SP-237 indicate demolish existing steam unit heaters. The note on Drawing SP237-M2 indicates existing steam unit heaters shall be abandoned in place. Please clarify what is required.

*Response: Demolish existing steam piping, steam unit heaters, and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 Selective Building Demolition.*

21. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building SP-313 indicate to demolish existing high pressure steam supply piping including steam pressure reducing station. The notes on Drawing SP313-M2 indicate existing steam pressure reducing station and existing high-pressure steam line shall be abandoned in place. Please clarify what is required.

*Response: Demolish existing high pressure steam supply piping, including steam pressure reducing station, and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 Selective Building Demolition.*

22. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building V-29 indicate to demolish existing steam unit heaters. The note on Drawing V29-M2 indicates existing steam unit heaters shall be abandoned in place. Please clarify what is required.

*Response: Demolish existing steam piping, steam units heaters, and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 Selective Building Demolition.*

23. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building V-29 indicate to demolish existing steam radiators. The notes on Drawing V29-M2 and V29-M3 indicate existing steam radiators shall be abandoned in place. Please clarify what is required.

*Response: Demolish existing steam piping and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 Selective Building Demolition.*

24. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building V-52 indicate to demolish existing steam unit heaters. The notes on Drawing V52-M2 and V52-M3 indicate existing steam unit heaters shall be abandoned in place. Please clarify what is required.

*Response: Demolish existing steam piping, steam unit heaters, and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 Selective Building Demolition*

25. The D30 Facility Specific Scope Requirements for Building V-88 indicate to demolish existing overhead steam unit heaters. The note on Drawing V88-M2 indicates existing steam unit heaters shall be abandoned in place. Please clarify what is required.

*Response: Demolish existing steam piping, steam unit heaters, and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 Selective Building Demolition*

26. In the General Systems Requirements for D30 in Chapter 6 of the RFP, the inside design conditions for warehouses are listed as 80 degrees F and 50% RH. Does this imply that cooling equipment needs to be added where none currently exists? The RFP does not currently address cooling in these types of buildings.

*Response: No cooling system equipment is required where none currently exists. Heated and Cooled spaces of the existing facilities included under this project shall remain as is unless otherwise noted by this D/B RFP.*

27. B201001 (Part 4, Section B20) requires samples of concrete "be taken from areas of the structure to be repaired at indicated locations". Where are the locations indicated or otherwise identified?

*Response: See Part 3, Sub-chapter 4.1 Building Tabulation for concrete building location.*

28. The RFP drawings provided for Bldg SP132 indicate a new boiler building (Sheet SP132-M2). However, this building is not listed in the Part 3 Project Program, to receive a new building. Please clarify.

Response: Building SP132 does not require a new boiler building as indicated by the ECM Feasibility Study included in Part 6 Attachments. The ECM Feasibility Study is used as a Basis of Design and Reference for this project. However, Part 3 Project Program dictates the work to be provided by this D/B RFP.

29. The RFP, Part 3, Chapter 6/ESR D20, Page 5: The plumbing notes for building SP-12 indicates the requirement for the replacement of the existing water heater with a new electric water heater. In RFP Part 6, the ECM Feasibility Study drawings indicate the new water heater is to be natural gas. Please clarify.

Response: Part 2 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Statement of Work takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

30. The RFP, Part 3, Chapter 6/ESR D20, Page 8: The plumbing notes for building SP-383 indicates the requirement for the replacement of the existing water heater with a new electric water heater. In RFP Part 6, the ECM Feasibility Study drawings indicate the new water heater is to be natural gas. Please clarify.

Response: Part 2 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Statement of Work takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

31. The RFP, Part 3, Chapter 6/ESR D20, pages 11, 12 & 13: The Notes for buildings CEP-200, CEP-209 and N24 concerning the quantity of water closets vs flush valves is a little confusing. Are bidders to assume that the stated quantity of flush valves vs toilets to be equal?

Response: From the Statement:

“Due to the discrepancy in the ECM Feasibility Study part six regarding number of flush valves to water closets, the actual number of water closets will be dictated by the number of flush valves to ensure proper function.”

In some cases, there may be an existing fixture that is designed to accommodate the reduced flow. Ultimately, however, it is the responsibility of the Contractor to ensure proper operation at all fixtures and locations prescribed by the RFP.

32. The RFP for building SP-312 (Plumbing Chapter 6 page 5) calls for new electric domestic water heaters, there is no mechanical listed, however, on the feasibility drawings SP-312 calls for Boilers etc. Is there no mechanical change out?

Response: Part 2 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Statement of Work takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study. Previous contract completed heating requirements for Bldg 312.

33. ESR F2010 1.1 of the RFP calls for piping systems that are not exposed and do not create installation difficulties of new equipment or systems shall be capped and abandoned in place. From this statement we understand that all the piping that it is exposed needs to be demolished. In numerous notes on the drawings of the buildings that are covered in PART 6 of the RFP, they call for exposed piping to be capped and abandoned in place. For example building SP-9 drawing SP9-M2 on the First Floor Plan – Demolition note 1 and 2 ask for steam equipment and piping to be abandoned in place. This is a typical note for most of the buildings. Should we follow PART 3 of the RFP or the demolition drawings and notes of PART 6 of the RFP ?

Reference section : PART 3-CHAPTER 6 / ESR D30 Page 1, ESR F20

Response: Demolish existing steam piping and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 *Selective Building Demolition*. The ECM Feasibility Study is used as a Basis of Design and Reference for this project. However, Part 3 Project Program dictates the work to be provided by this D/B RFP.

34. The RFP in PART 6 includes in the scope of work building V-53. This building is not mentioned in PART 3 of the RFP. Is building V-53 in the scope of this project?

Reference section: PART 3 / CHAPTER 4

Response: Building V-53 is not included under the scope of this project.

35. PART 6 of the RFP building SP312 it is asking for two steam Boilers and condensate unit that it is not mentioned in the PART 3 of the RFP. Should we follow PART 3 or PART 6 in this case?

Reference section: PART 6 / Bldg SP312

Response: Part 3 Project Program takes Precedence over the Part 6 ECM Feasibility Study. Follow the requirements of Part 3.

36. Text states, Boiler and mechanical room additions for four facilities, however further in the paragraph, five buildings are given. Please confirm the number of facilities?

Reference section: Section 00010-Solicitation Contract Form ; Page 3 of 79 ; Paragraph 7

Response: There are 5 buildings to receive boiler room additions listed as buildings SP-12, SP-29, SP-45, SP-383, and SP-356, and as indicated in Part 3 Project Program.

37. On Buildings SP-29 and SP-356 they are calling for a "pre-fab boiler Building". We have searched the specs and can't find anything covering this item. Please provide a specification.

Response: Follow the requirements of Part 3 Project Program. Part 6 ECM Feasibility Study is used as a Basis of Design and reference drawings for this project. However, Part 3 Project Program dictates work required by this project.

38. The project program requires O & M Manual(s) to be developed and submitted. Are we to assume one O&M Manual for the entire project, or are we required to submit one for each building?

Response: One O & M Manual shall be provided for each building as required.

39. The RFP requires that we perform repairs to existing finishes damaged during the execution of the contract scope of work. We have not received any information allowing contractors the ability to quantify this possible repair work (no existing finish schedules etc). In addition, we noted during the site visit that multiple buildings contain finishes that are in a deteriorated state, and therefore negating any work done on the contractors part to "repair" these installations (ie missing parts of acoustic ceilings, peeling paint etc) that may be adjacent to the work areas. Please clarify your requirements for the finishes in these buildings.

Response: The intent of this requirement is to have the contractor repair only areas that are damaged during construction of this contract. If the structure is currently damaged (ie. missing ceiling tiles, etc.), the contractor is not required to provide repairs. Only repair areas of the facility touched and damaged as a result of this contract.

40. In reference to the size standard and NAISC Code 238220 I am confused. The NAISC Code reference is for a size standard of 14 million, Does this mean that only contractors who perform 14 million and under are considered a small business for this proposal. In section 52.219-1 discusses the NAISC Code 238220 yet in paragraph 6 discusses the Hubzone status. We are a certified Hubzone Small Business Contractor who meets the normal size standard of 33.5 million annually. In submitting our bid are we still considered a small business hubzone contractor. We are listed by the SBA as a small business, do we have to fill out the Subcontracting Plan shown on sheets 68 through 76 of specification section 00010?

In addition, considering this project is listed as a \$23,593,000.00 budget, does it make sense that a contractor who performs less than 14 million a year could perform the work listed on this project?

Response: The NAICS size standard of 14 million refers to the contractor's annual revenue over the prior three years, which is how it is determined whether a contractor meets the size standard. The size standards are not developed by US Navy/NAVFAC, but by SBA.

That is independent from the value of the project.

Regarding a "Subcontracting Plan", the standard language of the Small Business factor states the following:

**SMALL BUSINESS OFFERORS SHALL ADDRESS THE FOLLOWING:**

1. Identify, in terms of dollar value and percentage of total proposed price, the extent of work you will perform as the prime contractor.
2. State the extent of work, including dollar value and percent of the total subcontracted effort, to be subcontracted to LB, SB, SDB, WOSB, VOSB, SDVOSB, HUBZone firms, and HBCU/MI. Small Businesses are not required to submit subcontracting plans.

41. RE: Drawing V88-M2 Note 1, and ESR Section for Building V88: There are conflicting instructions regarding the existing steam piping and steam unit heaters. Are the unit heaters and all exposed steam and condensate piping inside the hangar bays to be demolished or abandoned in place?

RE: Drawing V88-M2 Note 1, and ESR Section for Building V88

Response: Demolish existing steam piping and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 *Selective Building Demolition*. Part 6 ECM Feasibility Study is used as a Basis of Design and reference drawings for this project. However, Part 3 Project Program dictates work required by this project.

42. RE: Drawing LF59-M4 and M5, and ESR Section for Building LF59: We are unclear as to the extent of demolition required for the existing HWS/HWR piping between column lines E and D. The ESR section description of demolition leads us to believe the owner desires to have this piping demolished, but the drawings indicate otherwise. Is this piping to be demolished?

RE: Drawing LF59-M4 and M5, and ESR Section for Building LF59

Response: Demolish existing HWS/HWR piping and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 *Selective Building Demolition*. Part 6 ECM Feasibility Study is used as a Basis of Design and reference drawings for this project. However, Part 3 Project Program dictates work required by this project.

43. RE: Drawing LF60-M2, and ESR Section for Building LF60: We are unclear as to the extent of demolition required for the existing HWS/HWR piping between column lines E and D. The ESR section description of demolition leads us to believe the owner desires to have this piping demolished, but the drawings indicate otherwise. Is this piping to be demolished?

RE: Drawing LF60-M2, and ESR Section for Building LF60

Response: Demolish existing HWS/HWR piping and related equipment as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as indicated by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D30 HVAC, and F20 *Selective Building Demolition*. Part 6 ECM Feasibility Study is used as a Basis of Design and reference drawings for this project. However, Part 3 Project Program dictates work required by this project.

44. Bid item 0005 refers to pricing for Transit Shed W-4. We cannot locate any documents showing the required work in that building. Was it omitted?

Response: Transit Shed W-4 is referred to as Building Pier 8 in the ECM Feasibility Study and in Part 3 Project Program. Transit Shed W-4 and Building Pier 8 are the same facility.

45. Section 00010 page 3 of 80 states there are to be building additions included at SP-12, SP-29, SP-45, SP-383, and SP-356. In addition to these we count additions included at SP-132, SP-233, and SP-381. Is this correct?

Response: There are 5 buildings to receive boiler room additions listed as buildings SP-12, SP-29, SP-45, SP-383, and SP-356, and as indicated in Part 3 Project Program.

46. It states to submit a minimum of 1 project and a maximum of 5 projects...identify which projects the construction and design team have performed together. Please clarify if the total of 5 projects are to be a combination of the Construction Firm and the Design Firm.

Reference section: Factor 1-Corporate Experience: Construction Team

Response: As stated in page 54 of the solicitation under evaluation factors, past performance, "(1) The Past Performance Questionnaire included in the solicitation (Attachment B) is provided for the Offeror or its team members to submit to the client for each project the Offeror includes in its proposal for Factor 1, Corporate Experience." Past Performance Questionnaires are to be submitted only for those projects included in Corporate Experience, therefore, the number of projects is a minimum of one (1) and a maximum of five (5) for each factor, as stated in the RFP. Please refer to the "Evaluation Factors for Award" section of the RFP for further instructions.

SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The required response date/time has changed from 03-Dec-2009 02:00 PM to 08-Dec-2009 02:00 PM.

(End of Summary of Changes)