

NAVFAC SOLICITATION

NO. N40085-09-R-5083

AMENDMENT NO. 0006

IMPORTANT

This amendment should be acknowledged when your bid is submitted. Failure to acknowledge the amendment may constitute grounds for rejection of the bid. If your bid has been submitted prior to the receipt of this amendment, acknowledgment should be made by telegram, which should state whether the price contained in your sealed bid is to remain unchanged, is to be decreased by an amount, or is to be increased by an amount. The acknowledgment must be received prior to bid opening time.

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 6
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0006	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 24-Nov-2009	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) P116
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC HAMPTON ROADS IPT 9742 MARYLAND AVENUE NORFOLK VA 23511	CODE N40085	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-09-R-5083	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 19-Oct-2009	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) P116 STEAM PLANT AREA DECENTRALIZATION, NAVAL STATION NORFOLK, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 24-Nov-2009

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

The following have been added by full text:

1. Bid Items 0006 and 0007 seem to be for the same work in building SP-65. If this is not the same work, could you please clarify the differences? Additionally, Bid Item 0001A states that this item includes all work except 0001B, and 0002 through 0006. Bid Item 0007 is not mentioned. Please clarify this as well.

Response: The intent of Option Item 0006 is to provide heating in the form of heat pumps to spaces that would have no heating after disconnecting the facility from steam. The intent of Option Item 0007 is to remove all HVAC from facility and replace with a single unitary solution for the entire building. Refer to page 4 of the RFP, Contract Line Item Notes, paragraph b, "Evaluation of Options will not obligate the Government to exercise the Options (FAR 52.217-5)."

Bid Item 0001A should state that: "Price for the entire work, complete in accordance with the requirements of the RFP including drawings and specifications, but excluding work described in Bid Items 0001B, 0002, 0003, 0004, 0005, 0006, and 0007.

2. Are CAD drawings for the buildings going to be provided by NAVFAC?

Response: CAD drawings will be provided to the successful offeror.

3. RFP Specification Section 01 45 00.05 20 Paragraph 1.4.3.1 requires a Fire Protection QC Specialist be utilized for this project. The RFP makes no reference to Fire Protection in the Scope of Work.

In that light, is a FPQCS needed for the project?

Response: Code determines the necessity of a Fire Protection QC Specialist, ie. if fire protection is needed, provide a Fire Protection QC Specialist.

4. An important question concerning Bid Item 0001B has arisen as follows:

Bid Item 0001B states...."Price demolition and removal of asbestos materials complete in accordance with drawings and specifications and in accordance with the following schedule...."

- a. Is all asbestos containing materials to be included in this bid item (including the exterior steam line, the interior items on the Hazmat Report, and the six "supplemental" 15cy removals listed in the RFP)?
- b. The Unit Price setup for this bid item states "one" CY as the NO. UNITS. This quantity could not be correct considering the actual quantity of materials to be abated.

Responses:

a. Option Item numbers 2 and 3 are exterior Steam line demolition. The 15 cubic yard demolition is necessary to fulfill the scope of the project, providing heat to facilities after disconnection from base steam. The 15 CY is required by the base bid and is not part of the Option Items.

b. The bid unit is the CY. The number UNITS value will be determined by actual demolition.

5. Section 2.3.5 in the project program says:

- a. 2.3.5 Antiterrorism Criteria: This project does not require compliance with UFC 4-010-01, DOD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings and section 6-G20 in the project program say's:-- General Systems Requirements—Provide site improvements as required to make useable facility that meets functional and operational requirements, incorporates all applicable anti-terrorism, force protection and physical security requirements and blends into existing environment.

Please Clarify which section governs!

Response: This project does not require Antiterrorism Criteria compliance for the new boiler/mechanical room additions since they are non-occupied facilities. Since this project only changes building heating sources, plumbing fixtures, and lighting fixtures for the existing facilities, ATFP criteria compliance is not required. However, if this project changes facility functions and systems (air supply systems, etc.) ATFP compliance may be required.

6. Section 3.1 in the project program lists the various man made constraints for the construction of the boiler/mechanical rooms for the 5 buildings. Do these constraints like the sewer, concrete, asphalt etc. have to be removed and relocated/replaced?

Response: Yes, if required.

7. Can a site plan/utility plan be provided showing the proposed boiler/mechanical room additions to buildings SP-12, SP-29, Sp-45, SP-356 & SP383. This will give better understanding of the site work involved in the construction of these rooms. The ECM feasibility study given in Part 6 of the RFP shows the proposed rooms only in relation to the adjacent building.

Response: Refer to Part 6 Attachments Utility Drawings. Since this is a Design-Build contract, it is the responsibility of the D/B contractor to locate the actual boiler/mechanical room additions within the given proximity shown in the ECM Feasibility Study.

8. Where it mentions “retrofit” water closet flush valves from 3.5 to 1.6 gpf. If the toilet is 3.5 gpf, a 1.6 gpf valve will not work on it. The toilet will need to be replaced, but they only mention to replace the flush valve. We feel it needs to be added in?

Response: This possibility is addressed with the statement, within D30 Plumbing, of:

“Due to the discrepancy in the ECM Feasibility Study part six regarding number of flush valves to water closets, the actual number of water closets will be dictated by the number of flush valves to ensure proper function.”

It is the responsibility of the contractor to replace fixtures that cannot accommodate the reduced flow to ensure proper function.

9. IF we have to bring a toilet or urinal up to code, and it requires demo work to a wall, who will be required to fix the wall. There is no mention of it in the RFP.

Response: Compliance with current code in all cases is beyond the scope of the project.

10. It calls out to reuse existing backflow prevention, shouldn't they at least be tested and certified they are working?

Response: RFP requires functioning systems after completion of contract. If existing systems are a point of failure, and not part of this contract, they will be addressed at a later date by the facility owner. D/B contractor is not responsible for systems that are not modified by this contract.

11. In Chapter 6/ESR D20-pg 8 say's to install an electric domestic water heater for building SP-383, drawings call for a gas water heater. Please clarify?

Response: Provide an electric water heater as directed by Part 3 Project Program, and as specified by Part 3 Engineering system Requirements (ESR's) D20 Plumbing Systems. Part 3 Project Program takes Precedence over the Part 6 ECM Feasibility Study as indicated by Part 2, 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES, paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence.

12. The description of Work on page 3 of 79 indicates that four boiler/mechanical room additions are required, however the paragraph indicates additions will be made to five buildings SP-12, SP-29, SP-45, SP-383, and SP-356 and one boiler/mechanical room extension SP-233. Please clarify?

Response: There are 5 buildings to receive boiler room additions listed as buildings SP-12, SP-29, SP-45, SP-383, and SP-356, and as indicated in Part 3 Project Program. Building SP-233 shall require a boiler/mechanical room extension within the existing facility to house the new boiler/mechanical systems.

13. Building SP 29 and SP 45—The drawings provided in Part 6 do not show the location where the condensate piping should go?

Response: Route all disposable condensate to existing cooling wells not shown on ECM Feasibility Study drawings but within the proximity of the existing mechanical rooms of SP-29 and SP-45.

14. Building SP-91, Part 3 of the RFP, Chapter 6/ESR D20 Page 2 –It does not require Natural gas fired boilers, yet in the ECM feasibility study it requires 2 boilers—Which section has precedent on issues where there is a discrepancy?

Response: Part 2, 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence, governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Statement of Work takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

15. There are conflicting instructions regarding the existing steam piping and steam unit heaters. Are the unit heaters and all exposed steam and condensate piping inside the building to be demolished or abandoned in place?

Drawing SP236-M2 Note 3 and SP236-M3 Note 2, and ESR Section for Building SP-236

Response: Part 2, 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence, governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Statement of Work takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

16. There are conflicting instructions regarding the existing steam piping and steam unit heaters. Are the unit heaters and all exposed steam and condensate piping inside the building to be demolished or abandoned in place?

Drawing SP237-M2 Note 1 and SP237-M3 Note 3, and ESR Section for Building SP-237

Response: Part 2, 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence, governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Statement of Work takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

17. There are conflicting instructions regarding the existing steam service piping into the building. Is the steam service to be demolished or left in place?

Drawing SP383-M4 Note 14 and ESR Section for Building SP-383

Response: Part 2, 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence, governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Statement of Work takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

18. There are conflicting instructions regarding the existing steam radiators, unit heaters, steam converter, and the steam and condensate piping serving them. Are these items to be demolished or abandoned in place?

Drawing V29-M2 Notes 1, 2, and 5; Drawing V29-M3 Note 1; ESR Section for Building V-29

Response: Part 2, 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence, governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Statement of Work takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

19. There are conflicting instructions regarding the existing steam service piping into the building. Is the steam service to be demolished or left in place?

Drawing V50-M2 Note 4 and ESR Section for Building V-50

Response: Part 2, 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence, governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Statement of Work takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

20. There are conflicting instructions regarding the existing steam unit heaters, and the steam service piping into the building. Are they to be demolished or left in place?

Drawing V52-M2 Note 1 and ESR Section for Building V-52

Response: Part 2, 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence, governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Statement of Work takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

21. We find a set of drawings for Building V-53. However, it does not show in the ESR section. Is this building in the scope of work?

Part 6 Attachments section

Response: Part 2 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Part 3 Chapter 4 Building Requirements takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

In this case, V-53 has been removed from the project.

22. The work indicated on the drawings in the Part 6 Attachments does not match the work description in the Building Requirements section. What is the scope of work for this building?

SP-312

Response: Part 2 01 33 00.05 20 - CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES paragraph 3.3.2 Order of Precedence governs precedence in the event of conflict or inconsistency. In this case, the Part 3 Chapter 4 Building Requirements takes Precedence over the ECM Feasibility Study.

(End of Summary of Changes)