

NAVFAC SOLICITATION

NO. N40085-09-R-5083

AMENDMENT NO. 0007

IMPORTANT

This amendment should be acknowledged when your bid is submitted. Failure to acknowledge the amendment may constitute grounds for rejection of the bid. If your bid has been submitted prior to the receipt of this amendment, acknowledgment should be made by telegram, which should state whether the price contained in your sealed bid is to remain unchanged, is to be decreased by an amount, or is to be increased by an amount. The acknowledgment must be received prior to bid opening time.

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 5
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0007	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 25-Nov-2009	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) P116
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC HAMPTON ROADS IPT 9742 MARYLAND AVENUE NORFOLK VA 23511	CODE N40085	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-09-R-5083	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 19-Oct-2009	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) P116 STEAM PLANT AREA DECENTRALIZATION, NAVAL STATION NORFOLK, NORFOLK, VA CHANGES TO THE RFP AND RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION. CHANGES TO ITEMS 0001A AND 0001B OF THE PRICE PROPOSAL SECTION OF THE RFP ARE STILL FORTHCOMING. ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THE RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION IN AMENDMENTS 0005-0007 WILL BE ACCEPTED THROUGH THIS FRIDAY, 27 NOV 2009 AT NOON. REQUESTS SENT BEYOND THIS DATE WILL ONLY BE ANSWERED AT THE PROJECT MANAGER'S DISCRETION.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 25-Nov-2009

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

The following have been added by full text:

The following changes have been added to the RFP:

1. D306001-1.3 SPECIAL DDC SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS:

Change the second paragraph to read:

“Provide to BAS owner two (2) Paradyn (Zhone) 24 port REACH DSLAM's part # 2611-A2-420, to be compatible with and of equal function as existing Paradyn Bitstorm-RP-152-AC-NA, part # 810-08131-01, to interface building controls into existing DSL network, and provide wire block and two (2) fifty (50) foot, twenty five (25) pair Cat 3 cables with connectors (male/female) matching existing. Provide a Paradyn (Zhone) Single port REACH DSL Modem part #6381-A4-200 for each building and include with a line conditioner.”

The original paragraph requires a 48 port DSLAM which is not commercially available from the manufacturer.

2. Add the following general paragraph below to Part 2 General Requirements:

"Contractor shall patch, and repair existing facility interior and exterior areas affected by his contract work. Further, contractor shall patch and finish shadows and holidays on walls and ceilings which were caused by his plumbing, mechanical, and electrical upgrades. Contractor shall patch and finish these areas with materials and finishes equal to the affected existing facility areas and surfaces. Contractor shall minimize the evidence of the resulting holidays, shadows, and voids resulting from plumbing, mechanical, and electrical upgrade construction."

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION:

1. Section 013217.0520 states that 10 buildings will be released to the Contractor at one time for design and construction and subsequent buildings will be released as each one is completed. We would like to be able to commence design on all buildings and submit additional building designs (for review) and not have to wait until the construction is completed on the initial buildings. Restricting design submittals will be very inefficient and will greatly increase design fees and put undue pressure on the completion date. Could you please clarify your intent?

Response: The intent was to mitigate the impact of this contract on base operations by having a large number of buildings in various states of disarray.

2. With regard to Plumbing Fixture upgrades: Can we assume the existing chair carriers concealed in the walls for the existing fixtures will be sufficient support for the new fixtures?

Response: Yes. The intent is to re-use the existing chair carriers.

3. Is there any requirement or expectation that roof drains from new additions need to be piped to storm drains?

Response: If the existing facility ties into the storm drain system, it is anticipated the new addition for the facility shall also tie into the storm drain system. Otherwise, connections to the storm drain system are not required.

4. Who is responsible for protecting existing furniture and contents in areas where the contractor is working? Will the Owner be responsible for removing the contents in the warehouses?

Response: Contractor shall cover and protect furnishings and materials, and relocate contents in areas where the contractor is working in order to protect from damage during construction. This issue is covered in Part 2 SECTION 01 14 00.05 20 WORK RESTRICTIONS FOR DESIGN-BUILD, paragraph 1.2.3 Occupied Buildings.

5. With regard to interior painting of the plumbing and electrical upgrades: What will be the accepted standard to patching when fixtures are replaced and leave shadows or holidays in walls or ceilings?

Response: Contractor shall patch and finish existing facility interior and exterior areas affected by his contract work. Further, contractor shall patch and finish shadows and holidays in walls and ceilings caused by the plumbing and electrical upgrades. Contractor shall patch and finish these areas with materials and finishes equivalent to the affected existing facility surfaces. Contractor shall minimize the evidence of holidays, shadows, and voids resulting from plumbing and electrical upgrade construction."

6. With regard to design documentation for plumbing and electrical upgrades (primarily the CEP buildings, W-4, K-80 and W153): What design documentation is actually required? Will we need to provide full-blown floor plans for these buildings, where we are only replacing light bulbs/fixtures and plumbing fixtures? If we give the Owner submittals and typical sketches for installation, will that be adequate?

Response: Provide Drawings of facility modifications over scanned existing drawings. Refer to Part 6 Attachments ECM Feasibility Study for examples. It is not necessary to provide a newly generated drawing of an existing facility for small electrical and plumbing modifications.

7. Please clarify the painting scope. If we go into a room and we need to patch at light fixtures or pipe penetrations, are we required to paint the entire ceiling from corner to corner? Do we need to paint any walls?

Response: Contractor is not responsible for adjacent surfaces that are unaffected by his contract work. Contractor shall paint areas with finishes equal to the affected existing facility surfaces. Contractor shall minimize the evidence of the resulting holidays, shadows, and voids resulting from plumbing and electrical upgrade construction.

8. In amendment 1, much of the information required from the Designer (A&E) was deleted. Is there any information required at this point from the Designer?

Response: Please follow the instructions for proposal requirements and evaluation factors as listed in Amendment 0001.

9. Is the Design firm that produced the preliminary drawings and studies precluded from participation on a D/B Team?

Response: Based on legal analysis of the circumstances surrounding the development of the subject RFP and in accordance with FAR 9.505, it has been determined that an Organizational Conflict of Interest would exist if the company who provided the ECM Feasibility Study were to compete on this project as a prime or subcontractor.

10. Is the engineering firm that provided the bridging documents being allowed to bid on the current project?

Response: Based on legal analysis of the circumstances surrounding the development of the subject RFP and in accordance with FAR 9.505, it has been determined that an Organizational Conflict of Interest would exist if the company who provided the ECM Feasibility Study were to compete on this project as a prime or subcontractor.

11. The Solicitation (issued under Amendment 0001) addressing the Technical Proposal states "Pages count shall be limited as described below in each Evaluation Factor". Each Factor within the solicitation does not indicate the page allowance. What is the page allowance for each Factor and the subfactors?

Reference: Solicitation (issued under Amendment 0001)

Response: Delete the statement "Pages count shall be limited as described below in each Evaluation Factor." However, there is a page allowance for the entire proposal with which the Offeror must comply.

12. Will the Navy provide plan drawings of the buildings involved in the RFP but not provided as part of the RFP (e.g. lighting replacement)? If so, will these drawings be in hard copy, electronic copy, or AutoCAD *.dwg format?

Response: Proposal should be based on reference drawings provided on the CD-ROM that was provided to Offerors. Do not assume additional drawings in other formats will be provided by the Navy.

13. The RFP requires (Section 01 33 10.05 20, Paragraph 1.8) that the work be designed in accordance with UFC 1-300-9N. In section 10-3.5.3 "Sustainable Design and Mechanical", it appears that most of these calculations, in particular the Energy Analysis and the Life Cycle Cost Analysis, have already been performed to create the scope of work. May these calculations be excluded from the required design submittals?

Response: Remove all requirements for energy analysis and life cycle cost analysis calculations.

14. The RFP does not elaborate on the location of the general contractor's base of operations on this multi-building contract. Are we to assume that the government will establish a location suitable for the general contractor and major subcontractors to have a fenced in area with easy access to utilities for their base of operations?

Response: A laydown area and space for trailers will be provided in the SP area along with a reasonable amount of space. The Contractor will be responsible for fencing, utilities, and other support necessary for trailer and laydown area operation.

15. Amendment 0004 deletes V48 from the project program. However, during the site visit you indicated that SP-257 is also to be deleted from the program. Is this still the case?

Response: Remove all work associated with Building SP-257.

16. The Technical Proposal requires that a CPM schedule be submitted along with our proposal. If that is the case, should we assume that all buildings are ready to go, with gas lines already in place by the gas provider?

Response: The CPM schedule shall not assume that gas lines will already be in place by the gas provider. It is the obligation of the Contractor to coordinate his schedule with the gas company and provide allowances as required.

17. Will Auto-Cad files of the bridging documents be made available to the successful Contractor?

Response: Auto-CAD files of bridging documents will not be made available.

(End of Summary of Changes)