

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0004			3. EFFECTIVE DATE 21 May 2010	4 REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ NO. N/A	PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY Contracting Division USCG, Facilities Design & Construction Center 5505 Robin Hood Road, Suite K Norfolk, VA 23513-2431			7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6.)		N/A
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. HSCG47-10-R-3EFK01	
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 13 April 2010	
			<input type="checkbox"/>	10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE		11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (if required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
<input type="checkbox"/>	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14. ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATION CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103 (b).				
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER: (specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return ___ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)					
DESIGN/BUILD FOR REPLACE THRUN HALL BARRACKS & GALLEY AT U. S. COAST GUARD BASE SUPPORT UNIT (BSU), ELIZABETH CITY, NC					
1. The proposal due date remains Thursday, June 3, 2010 at 2:00 PM EDT.					
2. The deadline for inquiries and contract questions will be Wednesday, May 26, 2010.					
3. Attached is the attendance log for the organized site visit held on May 20, 2010.					
(SEE CONTINUATION PAGE)					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Same as Item 8)		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)			_____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		

AMEND SOLICITATION NO. HSCG47-10-R-3EFK01 AS FOLLOWS:

1. Section J, List of Attachments – Attachment J.2, Drawing No. A32X4004:

Sheet No. C102 – Specific Note 2. – DELETE the words “and/or relocate”.

Sheet No. A402 -

CHANGE New Work Note #4 to read: "One piece 22" deep cast acrylic vanity top w/ integral oval lavatory & 4" splash. Provide plastic laminate surfaced cabinet w/ doors meeting AWI Custom Grade specifications. Provide all necessary hardware for a complete installation (this note only applies to Berthing Bathrooms)."

ADD the following New Work Note #6: "One piece 22" deep cast acrylic vanity top w/ integral oval lavatory & 4" splash. Provide concealed support for top, no base cabinet is required (this note applies to Spaces 115, 116, D23 & D24)."

CHANGE New Work Note #4 plans in Spaces 115, 116, D23 & D24 to #6

Sheet No. A501 -

CHANGE Specific Note 1 to read: "Plastic laminate surfaced wall cabinets meeting AWI Custom Grade specifications. Provide all necessary hardware for a complete installation."

CHANGE Specific Note 2 to read: "Plastic laminate surfaced base cabinets w/ drawers and doors in the configuration indicated meeting AWI Custom Grade specifications. Provide all necessary hardware for a complete installation."

The following questions were received in response to the solicitation:

1. (Re: Section 01158, ¶1.7.2.1) States use of ground faced concrete masonry equal to Trenwyth Industries. Past experience has shown that ground faced masonry units manufactured by Trenwyth does not match other manufacturers styles and colors. Please confirm the USCG understands an “or equal” to Trenwyth may not be possible.

Response: We do not confirm that an “or equal” to Trenwyth is not possible. Selections from other products will be acceptable as long as they meet the definition of “or equal”.

2. (Re: Section 01158, ¶1.1.1..2) Referenced paragraph states to use UFC 4-01-02 DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Stand Off Distances for Buildings. The cited publication is locked and can only accessed via USACE Protective Design Center. The website notes the document can be accessed if the user is registered; however, the USACE is experiencing problems with their website and several attempts to register have failed. Can you provide a copy of the referenced UFC standard via amendment?

Response: There are many other websites available to obtain the reference standard, including http://www.wbdg.org/ccb/DOD/UFC/ufc_4_010_02.pdf. We will not furnish a copy.

3. (Re: Section 01158, ¶1.4.1.4) The referenced paragraph provides direction for new right and left turn lanes. Please provide traffic study data indicating type of vehicular traffic expected and volume to allow design review.

Response: No study is available to provide. Use the RFP drawings as stated in the reference paragraph for right and left turning lane concept(s).

4. (Re: Section 01158, ¶1.13.2.6.2.1.4) The referenced paragraph in part states to replace the existing ball field lights with new lights and poles; however, on sheet C-102, 3 of 20, Note 2 states remove and dispose and/or relocate ball field lighting completely. Please clarify if existing lights and poles are to be relocated or is the intent to provide new ball field lighting.

Response: On Sheet No. C102 – Specific Note 2. – DELETE the words “and/or relocate”. The intent is to provide all new poles and fixtures.

5. What date is the bid due?

Response: The Proposal due date is June 3, 2010 at 2:00 PM EDT.

6. Are you wanting the telecommunications contractor to run all of the conduit and put up the cable tray or is that something that the electrician will do?

Response: We are not in a position to determine how a general contractor will assign work between trades.

7. About the 4" conduit going from first floor to the second and from the second to third, are sleeves okay or do you want conduit all the way through?

Response: RFP requires conduit.

8. Where are the common and recreation areas located?

Response: RFP drawings identify common and recreation areas.

9. How do you want the speakers in the common and recreation areas mounted, will they be on a pole? If so, is the telecommunications contractor responsible for putting the pole up?

Response: We are not in a position to determine how a general contractor will assign work between trades, nor will we comment on how a performance requirement should be met by a contractor

10. On sheet 19 of 20 (Galley Furniture Plan) the tables and chairs in the Dining Room (D04) are not specified or have not been assigned a outfitting tag. Tables and chairs in the Ward Room are also not tagged. Please provide additional information similar to the Furniture/Equipment schedule on sheet 20 if these are to be included with our proposal. If they are to be provided by others, please specify.

Response: This oversight was covered by Amendment 0003. Tables and chairs are covered by Amendment 0003.

11. Per Amendment 3, Question 41, Page 11, please if it is acceptable to design for IBC Category IV but use UFC Category II for Progressive Collapse?

Response: Use category IV for both the IBC and the UFC.

12. The RFP states:

“Provide all materials, equipment and services to design and construct ...furniture outfitting of barracks and galley, public areas...”

Is the contractor required to procure the furniture? If so, will the contractor be able to purchase through GSA schedule?

Response: Yes to the first question. No to the second question.

13. Will temporary utility usage be the responsibility of the contractor? Specifically, do we need to carry costs for temporary electric and gas during construction? If so, what stage of construction can utilities expect to be turned over to the owner. With LEED commissioning, the project can be extended a month or two, while the actual construction and occupancy has already been achieved.

Response: Review specification section 01500, “Temporary Facilities”. Contractor will be allowed to consume “reasonable” amounts of electricity and water without charge.

14. What percent complete is required for a project to be considered "Ongoing" when referencing previous LEED design and construction experience? References Amendment 1, Section M, Paragraph M3.3.1.A.3.a

Response: If the project in question has been awarded, and design/LEED work has started (i.e. greater than 0% ongoing), that project would be considered “acceptable.”

15. The RFI number 36 in Amendment 3 asked if there is a UFC that should be followed, and the response stated “No, except for the UFC 4-023-03 for progressive collapse requirements.” Please verify whether UFC 4-010-01 is still a requirement of this project (e.g. standoff distances, blast-resistant windows, mass notification system, etc.). All of the other requirements of UFC 4-010-01 will have a significant cost impact on the project.

Response: The response to RFI number 36 in amendment 3 is incorrect. The correct response for RFI 36 should read:

Specification section 01158, in paragraph 1.1.1.2 Anti-Terrorism/Force Protection (ATFP) and in paragraph 1.8.2 Building Construction refer to the three UFCs (UFC 4-010-01, UFC 4-010-02, and UFC 4-023-03) that apply to this project. Otherwise, there are no other specific UFC Design Guides that applies to this project, except the three referenced in the first sentence.”

16. Amendment 3, page 6, question 5 asked about STC requirements for the project. The response was “All spaces shall have a minimum rating of STC 45 through walls, floors and ceilings”. Please clarify that this includes doors and windows and since this seems to be a barracks requirement what’s required in the galley spaces?

Response: Yes, the STC applies to doors and interior windows since they are a component of the "walls". STC rating applies to Galley because Amendment No. 0003 note pertains to sheet A111 as well as A101.

17. The RFP specification section 01158, page 1, paragraph 1.1.1.2, requires us to design the building to meet ATFP Standards.

Amendment 3, Page 10, question 36 asked if there was a UFC design guideline for the barracks and galley. The response was

“No except for the UFC-4-023-03 for progressive collapse”. Do we take this to mean that we are only designing progressive collapse into the Barracks? Does this mean blast windows are not required?

Response: As noted for the previous question 15, the response to RFI 36 was in error, see the correct response above. In answer to the question regarding the window type, the response is that the exterior windows specified in Section 01158 1.7.11 are adequate to provide the protection necessary for ATFP.

18. Amendment 3, page 4 says to change horizontal fan coil units to zone terminal units. Page 20, question 92; page 22, question 103;

Page 23, question 108, says “the contractor will have the option to select any HVAC system with the lowest life cycle cost.

Page 12, question 46 asks if systems other than four pipe fan coil can be proposed. The response was “We are not able to judge alternatives, nor will be able to evaluate alternatives to RFP requirements before award. Therefore the answer is no.

As the design build General Contractor it’s our responsibility and risk to provide a system that meets the RFP, code and LEED requirements for this project and provide you the lowest cost possible. We can offer systems with less energy consumption, lower life cycle costs and improved performance.

Please clarify if alternate systems can be proposed?

Response: The requirement that the UPH HVAC system must be a 4 pipe system was deleted by amendment 3. Therefore, the response to question 46 was incorrect. The answer to your “Please clarify if alternate systems can be proposed?” is yes.

19. If the building is to be designed against progressive collapse in accordance with the current version of UFC 4-023-03, it would be designated as Occupancy Category II for progressive collapse. Is this the intent? Please clarify if the Occupancy Category for progressive collapse design is Occupancy Category II or Occupancy Category IV.

Response: Use category IV for both the IBC and the UFC.

20. Amendment 3 provided static pressure and flow rates for a flow test. What was the residual pressure at the flow rates indicated?

Response: Updated hydrant data indicates a static pressure of 64 psi and 60 psi residual pressure flowing at 788 GPM.

21. Per RFI Response #43 in Amendment 3, it says horizontal or vertical blinds for all exterior windows for “privacy and room darkening”. Privacy and Room Darkening are added features that can be specified on horizontal blinds. This feature is often used for residential units. Does this requirement also apply to the Galley exterior windows?

Response: Yes, this requirement also applies to the Galley exterior windows.

22. In section 1.7. 8.2 Floor Finishes of the RFP under Terrazzo, the requirement indicates that a stainless steel “L” angle divider strips are required for the floor and that Zinc is required for the graphics. It is accepted as industry standard to provide a zinc divider. Stainless steel is more costly. Please confirm that the stainless steel is required in lieu of the zinc dividers for the typical terrazzo installation.

Response: We confirm the use of stainless steel as indicated.

23. Per Amendment 3, RFI Response #23, it indicated that there is no specification for hardwood casework in 01158. However the drawings indicate that the toilet rooms for the Barracks bathrooms and public toilet.

Response: See changes to Sheet No. A402 and Sheet No. A501 above.

NOTE

Failure to acknowledge amendments may be cause for rejection of your offer.

