

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

NAVFAC Solicitation No.: N40085-10-R-3011
NAVFAC Specification Work Order No.: 815240

Title: P773 Design-Build SOF Support Activity (SUPPACT) Operations Facility

Location of the work: Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek – Fort Story, Virginia Beach, VA

Description of the work: This project constructs a 40,000 SF Support Activity (SUPPACT) Operations Facility at NAB Little Creek. Project includes concrete masonry building with slab on grade and pile foundation, standing seam metal roof over steel framing, steel doors and frames, steel roll up doors, and gypsum board over metal stud interior partitions. Built-in equipment one passenger/freight elevator. The building includes force protection design/materials, fire protection, HVAC, cameras/intrusion detection/cipher locks, information systems, and technical operating manuals. Supporting facilities include electrical utilities, mechanical utilities including sewer and water, storm water drainage with storm water management, earthwork and landscaping, sidewalks, access drives. Management of storm water shall be in accordance with existing low impact development (LID) guidelines and best management practices (Prince George County's Low-Impact Development Design Strategies/Hydrologic Analysis, July 1999) to ensure continued compliance with the Clean Water Act and the Chesapeake Executive Council Storm Water Directive 01-1.

Estimated Cost Range: The contract budget amount for design and construction is \$15,750,000.

Time for completion: The Government desires completion of construction within 540 calendar days.

Wage Determination: General Decision VA100015 05/17/2010 VA15 (See Attached).

Liquidated damages: To be calculated as listed in the chart below based on the successful offeror's accepted proposed price.

| Project Cost (\$) | Estimated Liquidated Damages Per Calendar Day (\$) |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| \$ 2,000 – 25,000 | \$ 80 |
| 25,000 - 50,000 | 110 |
| 50,000 – 100,000 | 140 |
| 100,000 – 500,000 | 200 |
| Each additional \$100,000 – add \$50 | |

Special scheduling: See Specification Section 01 14 00.

Utilities: Reasonable amounts of water, sewer and power will be provided to the Contractor by the Government at the Contractor's expense.

| <u>Utility Provided</u> | <u>Cost Per Unit</u> |
|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Water & Sewer | Prevailing Rate |
| Gas | Prevailing Rate |
| Power | Prevailing Rate |

Record Shop Drawings: Record drawings are required.

Basis for Award: Best Value Source Selection (BVSS), Tradeoff Process.

Price Proposal: Submit one (1) original and (1) copy of the attached Price Proposal Form and Executed Bid Guarantee for 20% of the price.

Proposal Acceptance Period: 60 days from receipt of offers.

Site Visit: To be determined. Will be posted by Amendment to the solicitation. The Government will conduct one (1) site visit.

Proposal Due Date: Friday, 20 August 2010
2:00 PM (EDT)

Mailing Address:
NAVFAC MID-ATLANTIC
Attn: Crystal R. Hux (Code OPHA4)
9742 Maryland Avenue
Norfolk, VA 23511-3095

Handcarried Address:
NAVFAC MID-ATLANTIC
9324 Virginia Avenue
Building Z-140, Room 117
Norfolk, VA 23511

Requests for Information (RFIs): RFIs must be submitted in writing to crystal.r.hux@navy.mil or fax (757) 341-1646. The cutoff for RFIs is Friday, 06 August 2010 in order to process all inquiries by the proposal due date.

The complete RFP, including drawings and specifications, will be provided to contractors on CD-ROM only. The RFP will not be posted on NECO. Only one CD-ROM will be provided per contractor. CD-ROMs may be picked up at:

**NAVFAC MID-ATLANTIC
9324 Virginia Avenue
Building Z-140, Room 117
Norfolk, VA 23511**

If delivery is requested, please send an email with your complete address and FedEx account number to crystal.r.hux@navy.mil.

EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

1. The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following technical factors and subfactors:

Factor 1 – Corporate Experience
Subfactor 1A – Construction Firm
Subfactor 1B – Design Firm

Factor 2 – Past Performance
Subfactor 2A – Construction Firm
Subfactor 2B – Design Firm

Factor 3 – Design-Build Solution

2. All technical evaluation factors are weighted equally and, when combined, are approximately equal to price. Within Factor 1, subfactors 1A and 1B are of equal importance. Within Factor 2, subfactors 2A and 2B are of equal importance.

3. Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for Each Factor.

(a) Price Submission

(1) Price Requirements: Offeror shall submit one (1) original, marked “Original”, and one (1) copy, each in a separate three ring binder with the following:

- Price Proposal Form
- Bid Bond
- Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, phone number, and email address.

Basis of Evaluation: Total price consists of the basic requirements (see Section 00010 of the solicitation). The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- (ii) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGCE.
- (iii) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.
- (iv) Comparison of market survey results.

(b) Technical Submission

(1) Technical Requirements: Offeror shall submit one (1), marked “Original”, a CD and four (4) copies, each in a separate three-ring binder with the following characteristics:

- 8 ½ x 11 letter (9 – 11 x 17 sheets also permitted as noted in Factor 3)
- 12 point font
- Page count shall be limited to 75 pages – page count excludes cover page and tabs. **PAGES THAT EXCEED THE PAGE LIMITATION SHALL NOT BE EVALUATED.**
- Include a cover page with Solicitation Number, Solicitation Title, Prime Contractor Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, Point of Contact, Title, phone number, and email address.

FACTOR 1 – CORPORATE EXPERIENCE

Corporate experience pertains to the types of work and volume of work completed by an offeror that are similar in size, scope and complexity to the project requirements as set out in the subject RFP.

SUBFACTOR 1A – CONSTRUCTION FIRM

(A) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

(1) Submit a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) projects similar in size, scope and complexity to the construction of the project requirements of the RFP. Projects are limited to those with construction completed in the last five (5) years. Each project submitted for evaluation shall be \$5M or more in dollar value. Use the attached Corporate Experience Form (Attachment A) to submit projects. Project description for each project shall explain the detailed scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP. Include in each project description any official LEED Certifications received from the U. S. Green Building Council (USGBC), if any. Please identify which projects the construction firm and design firm have performed together. If the construction firm and design firm have not performed together, state so on the form.

(B) Basis of Evaluation:

(1) The standard has been met when the offeror has provided the minimum required experience in performing construction projects similar in terms of size, scope and complexity to the project required by the RFP. When evaluating the proposal, the Government may give more weight to projects considered most relevant to the RFP.

(2) Proposals with relevant projects that incorporated sustainable principles and achieved an official LEED rating of “Silver” or higher from the U. S. Green Building Council may result in a higher rating for this subfactor.

(3) Proposals with relevant projects that were accomplished using the Design-Build approach may result in a higher rating for this subfactor.

(4) Proposals with relevant projects where the design firm and construction firm previously performed together may result in a higher rating for this subfactor.

(5) Proposals with relevant projects that contain a Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF) may result in a higher rating for this subfactor.

(6) Submitted projects that fail to use the attached Corporate Experience Form (Attachment A), or format, may not be evaluated.

(7) Submitted projects that fail to provide all requested data, an accessible point of contact, or correct telephone/facsimile numbers may not be evaluated.

(8) Submitted projects that exceed the project submission maximum will not be evaluated.

(9) Submitted projects that are outside of the specified five (5) year period will not be evaluated.

SUBFACTOR 1B – DESIGN FIRM

(A) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

(1) Submit a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) projects similar in size, scope and complexity to the design of the project requirements of the RFP. Projects are limited to those with design completed in the last five (5) years. Each project submitted for evaluation shall be \$5M or more in dollar value. Use the attached Corporate Experience Form (Attachment A) to

submit projects. Project description for each project shall explain the detailed scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP. Include in each project description any official LEED Certifications received from the U. S. Green Building Council (USGBC), if any. Please identify which projects the construction firm and design firm have performed together. If the construction and design firm have not performed together, state so on the form.

(B) Basis of Evaluation:

- (1) The standard has been met when the offeror has provided the minimum required experience in performing design projects similar in terms of size, scope and complexity to the project required by the RFP. When evaluating the proposal, the Government may give more weight to projects considered most relevant to the RFP.
- (2) Proposals with relevant projects that incorporated sustainable principles and achieved an official LEED rating of “Silver” or higher from the U. S. Green Building Council may result in a higher rating for this subfactor.
- (3) Proposals with relevant projects that were accomplished using the Design-Build approach may result in a higher rating for this subfactor.
- (4) Proposals with relevant projects where the design firm and construction firm previously performed together may result in a higher rating for this subfactor.
- (5) Proposals with relevant projects that contain a Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF) may result in a higher rating for this subfactor.
- (6) Submitted projects that fail to use the attached Corporate Experience Form (Attachment A), or format, may not be evaluated.
- (7) Submitted projects that fail to provide all requested data, an accessible point of contact, or correct telephone/facsimile numbers may not be evaluated.
- (8) Submitted projects that exceed the project submission maximum will not be evaluated.
- (9) Submitted projects that are outside of the specified five (5) year period will not be evaluated.

FACTOR 2 – PAST PERFORMANCE

SUBFACTOR 2A – CONSTRUCTION FIRM

Past Performance of Offeror relates to how well a contractor has performed in the past on similar projects in terms of size, scope and complexity.

(A) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

- (1) The Past Performance Questionnaire (Attachment B) included in the solicitation is provided for the Offeror to submit to the client for each project the Offeror includes in its proposal for **Subfactor 1A, Corporate Experience – Construction Firm**. Ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed Past Performance Questionnaires are to be mailed or faxed from the client directly to the Government, not be submitted via the Offeror. In order for the client evaluation to be considered, the Past Performance Questionnaires must be submitted no later than five business days prior to the due date for submittal of proposals. Include with your proposal submission a copy of the Past Performance Questionnaire Cover Sheet which contains the point of contact information for each client questionnaire. The offeror may include performance recognition documents received within the last five (5) years - such as awards, award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition on projects submitted under Technical Subfactor 1A, Corporate Experience – Construction Firm.

In addition to the above, the Government may review any other source of information for evaluating the overall past performance rating for this factor. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of team members (partnership, joint venture, teaming arrangement, or parent company/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

(B) Basis of Evaluation:

- (1) The degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., PPIRS, performance recognition documents, and information obtained for any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance considering:
- A pattern of successful completion of tasks;
 - A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
 - A pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government/Client at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.);
 - Tasks that are identical to, similar to, or related to the task at hand; and
 - A respect for stewardship of Government/Client funds

SUBFACTOR 2B – DESIGN FIRM

Past Performance of Offeror relates to how well a contractor has performed in the past on similar projects in terms of size, scope and complexity.

(A) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

(1) The Past Performance Questionnaire (Attachment B) included in the solicitation is provided for the Offeror to submit to the client for each project the Offeror includes in its proposal for **Subfactor 1B, Corporate Experience – Design Firm**. Ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Completed Past Performance Questionnaires are to be mailed or faxed from the client directly to the Government, not be submitted via the Offeror. In order for the client evaluation to be considered, the Past Performance Questionnaires must be submitted no later than five business days prior to the due date for submittal of proposals. Include with your proposal submission a copy of the Past Performance Questionnaire Cover Sheet which contains the point of contact information for each client questionnaire. The offeror may include performance recognition documents received within the last five (5) years - such as awards, award fee determinations, customer letters of commendation, and any other forms of performance recognition on projects submitted under Subfactor 1B, Corporate Experience – Design Team.

In addition to the above, the Government may review any other source of information for evaluating the overall past performance rating for this factor. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of team members (partnership, joint venture, teaming arrangement, or parent company/subsidiary/affiliate) identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

(B) Basis of Evaluation:

- (1) The degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., PPIRS, performance recognition documents, and information obtained for any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance considering:
- A pattern of successful completion of tasks;

- A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
- A pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government/Client at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.);
- Tasks that are identical to, similar to, or related to the task at hand; and
- A respect for stewardship of Government/Client funds

FACTOR 3 – DESIGN-BUILD SOLUTION

(A) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

(1) Provide a brief statement indicating that the design and construction shall meet the minimum RFP requirements. Provide narratives and checklists for the Little Creek facility and the Fort Story facility that address an approach to the LEED SILVER requirements in the RFP. Also provide conceptual site development plans and building floor plans as described below.

- 4 – 11 x 17 sheets depicting conceptual site development plans indicating building location, parking lot, sidewalk, streets, utility connections, LID stormwater management features, and ATFP setbacks. The Little Creek facility shall be limited to 2 sheets, and the Fort Story facility shall be limited to 2 sheets.
- 5 – 11 x 17 sheets depicting conceptual building floor plans and elevations. The Little Creek facility shall be limited to 3 sheets, and the Fort Story facility shall be limited to 2 sheets.

(B) Basis of Evaluation:

(1) The offeror will be evaluated to determine if he/she has provided the above scope information and identified an adequate construction and design approach that meets the minimum RFP requirements.

ATTACHMENT A

**CORPORATE EXPERIENCE FORM
N40085-10-R-3011**

Offeror: _____

Project Title: _____

Contract Number: _____

DUNS Number utilized during Project Performance: _____

Location: _____

Award Date: _____ Completion Date: _____

Original Value: \$ _____ Final Value: \$ _____

Type of Contract: Fixed Price Cost Reimbursable

Project Description: _____

Customer Contact: Name _____

Organization _____

Telephone Number _____

FAX Number _____

Email Address _____

Circle one: P = Prime Contractor; S = Subcontractor

ATTACHMENT B

Cover letter for Past Performance Questionnaire

FROM: Naval Facilities Engineering Command Mid-Atlantic
Attn: Crystal R. Hux
9742 Maryland Avenue
Norfolk, VA 23511

SUBJ: REQUEST FOR PAST PERFORMANCE INFORMATION FOR SOLICITATION N40085-10-R-3011, P773, DESIGN-BUILD SOF SUPPORT ACTIVITY (SUPPACT) OPERATIONS FACILITY, JOINT EXPEDITIONARY BASE LITTLE CREEK – FORT STORY, VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA

Our office is in the process of selecting a contractor for the subject construction project. One of the award criteria includes the evaluation of the Offeror's past performance on prior or current contracts. We need your assistance by rating the Offeror's past performance on the attached Past Performance Questionnaire form. Neither the name of your company nor the name of the person(s) completing the questionnaire will be revealed to the Offeror.

If you have any questions regarding the questionnaire please do not hesitate to contact Crystal R. Hux at 757-341-1654 or via Email at crystal.r.hux@navy.mil.

Instructions for completing the questionnaire:

1. Please have the questionnaire completed by the person(s) most familiar with the Offeror's performance on subject contract. Your candid response to the questions is important to our evaluation effort and may affect the award outcome. Please note the "neutral" rating for questions not applicable to work performed on your contracting action.
2. The Offeror will provide the exact date questionnaires are due in their cover letter to you. Please submit the Past Performance Questionnaire before the due date directly to Crystal Hux via Email crystal.r.hux@navy.mil or by facsimile to 757-341-1646.
3. Please do not send the Past Performance Questionnaire to the Offeror. This questionnaire relates to an ongoing source selection and it will be considered Source Selection Sensitive Information in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation Part 3.104. No information will be released outside of the Source Selection Evaluation Organization.

In advance, we know your time is valuable and appreciate your support by completing the questionnaire.

//S//

Crystal Hux
Contract Specialist

ATTACHMENT B

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE COVER SHEET

(NOTE TO OFFEROR: For each past performance questionnaire to be completed by a client and curate and current data will eliminate that particular project from being considered relevant)

1. OFFEROR: _____
2. FIRM BEING EVALUATED: _____
3. CONTRACT NUMBER: _____
4. *TITLE OF CONTRACT:* _____
5. REFERENCE ORGANIZATION NAME: _____
6. EVALUATOR'S CONTACT INFO:
 - a. NAME & TITLE: _____
 - b. PHONE NUMBER: _____
 - c. FAX NUMBER: _____
 - d. EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

*ADJECTIVE RATINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT
YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE*

E (EXCELLENT) – Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. (HIGH CONFIDENCE)

G (GOOD) – Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which/corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective. (SIGNIFICANT CONFIDENCE)

S (SATISFACTORY) – Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element contains some minor problems for which corrective action taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. (CONFIDENCE)

M (MARGINAL) –Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. (LITTLE CONFIDENCE)

P(POOR) – Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely IN A timely manner. The contractual performance of the element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective. (NO CONFIDENCE)

N (NEUTRAL) – No relevant past performance record is identifiable upon which to base a meaningful performance risk prediction. A search was unable to identify any relevant past performance information for the contractor or their key personnel. (This is neither a negative or positive assessment.)

**PLEASE CIRCLE THE ADJECTIVE RATING WHICH BEST REFLECTS
 YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE.**

| 1. Was this a competitive Contract? | YES | | | NO | | |
|---|-------|---|---|-----|---|---|
| 2. Role of contractor. | Prime | | | Sub | | |
| 3. Ability to meet quality standards specified for technical performance. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 4. Compliance with contractual terms and conditions. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 5. Quality/integrity of technical data/report preparation efforts. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 6. Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 7. Effectiveness of overall contract management (including ability to effectively lead, manage and control the program). | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 8. Effectiveness of on-site management, including management of subcontractors? | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 9. Contractor was reasonable and cooperative in dealing with your staff (including the ability to successfully resolve disagreements/disputes; responsiveness to administrative reports). | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 10. Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem resolution without extensive customer guidance. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 11. Ability to successfully respond to emergency and/or surge situations. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 12. Effectiveness of on-site management and control multidiscipline construction categories, including subcontractors.. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 13. Effectiveness of material management. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 14. Effectiveness of acquisition management. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 15. Contractor proposed innovative alternative methods/processes that reduced cost, improved maintainability or other factors that benefited the client. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 16. Contractor safety record. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 17. Responsiveness regarding safety issues. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 18. If this is/was a Government cost type contract, the Contractor's timeliness in submitting monthly invoices with appropriate back-up documentation and monthly status reports/budget variance reports. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 19. If this is/was a Government cost type contract, the Contractor's accuracy regarding monthly invoices with appropriate back-up documentation and monthly status reports/budget variance reports. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 20. Ability to hire/apply a qualified workforce to this effort. | E | G | S | M | P | N |
| 21. Ability to retain a qualified workforce on this effort | E | G | S | M | P | N |

The proposed price shall be the lump sum price for the following items:

ITEM 0001 - BASE PRICE - Price includes the following:

0001A: Price for the entire work, complete in accordance with the requirements of the RFP including drawings and specifications, but excluding work described in Items 0001B, 0001C, 0002, and 0003.

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0001A: \$ _____

0001B: Price for foundation piling, complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications.

For the use of piles to support the entire building structure, including ground level slabs. Offerors shall include in their technical proposals a description of the type of pile proposed for use, and a calculation showing that the pile is appropriate for the loads it will support. Offerors shall provide a linear foot price for piles, a total length of piling required, and a total job cost. If more than one type of piling is anticipated, provide a separate line item for unit price, number of units, and an extension for that type of piling. The linear foot price shall be based on piling in place (from tip to cut off). The unit price will be used as the cost basis for additional piling, and for credit to the Government for piling not placed.

| <u>ITEM</u> | <u>UNIT</u> | <u>UNIT PRICE</u> | <u>ESTIMATED QUANTITY</u> | <u>EXTENSION</u> |
|---|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Foundation Piling | LF | \$ _____ | _____ | \$ _____ |
| <u>TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0001B:</u> | | | | \$ _____ |

0001C: Price for pile load test, complete in accordance with the drawings and specifications.

Bidders shall include two (2) compression pile load tests, and two (2) tension pile load tests for this bid item.

| <u>ITEM</u> | <u>UNIT</u> | <u>UNIT PRICE</u> | <u>ESTIMATED QUANTITY</u> | <u>EXTENSION</u> |
|---|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Pile Load Test | EA | \$ _____ | _____ | \$ _____ |
| <u>TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0001C:</u> | | | | \$ _____ |

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0001 (ITEMS 0001A – 0001C): \$ _____

ITEM 0002 - OPTION ITEM 1 - Price includes the following:

Price for providing work in connection with all labor, material, equipment, transportation, and supervision required for the Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment (FF&E) that support this contract including all work indicated in the contract documents as "FF&E", in accordance with the following schedule:

| <u>ITEM</u> | <u>UNIT</u> | <u>UNIT PRICE (NTE 5%)</u> | <u>ESTIMATED QUANTITY</u> | <u>HANDLING FEE</u> |
|--|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| FF&E Purchase, Storage, Handling, Coordination, Administration, and Installation | EA | _____ % | \$1,173,000 | \$ _____ |

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0002:

\$ _____

ITEM 0003 - OPTION ITEM 2 - Price includes the following:

0003A: Price for providing work in connection with all labor, material, equipment, transportation, testing and supervision required for the Physical Security Equipment Systems (PSE) that supports this contract including all work indicated in the contract documents as “PSE” for the JEB Little Creek facility.

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0003A:

\$ _____

0003B: Price for providing work in connection with all labor, material, equipment, transportation, testing and supervision required for the Physical Security Equipment Systems (PSE) that supports this contract including all work indicated in the contract documents as “PSE” for the JEB Fort Story facility.

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0003B:

\$ _____

TOTAL PRICE FOR ITEM 0003 (ITEMS 0003A AND 0003B):

\$ _____

TOTAL PRICE FOR ALL ITEMS 0001, 0002 AND 0003:

\$ _____

CONTRACT LINE ITEM NOTES

1. Award will be made on the total sum of Item 0001 – Base Price and the sum of the extensions under Items 0002 and 0003. Offerors shall enter unit prices and/or extended totals in spaces provided. If there is a difference between a unit price and the extended total, the unit price will be held to be the intended price and the total recomputed accordingly. If an offeror provides a total but fails to enter a unit price, the total divided by the specified quantity will be held to be the intended unit price.
2. The contractor shall be authorized by the Contracting Officer to procure and install all furniture, fixtures and equipment (FF&E) as specified in the approved FF&E package, using negotiated price schedules from BPA (Blanket Purchase Agreement). The amount of this contract will be the actual cost of FF&E items plus a negotiated fee for the administrative effort associated with order tracking, delivery scheduling, installation, oversight and all expenses and fees in connection to assure a complete operating turn key facility within the contract completion date. No changes to the final approved FF&E package will be authorized.

The contractor may propose a handling and administrative rate (HAR) not to exceed 5% of the budgeted amount for the furniture, fixtures and equipment, which will be deducted from the budget amount which is approximately of \$1,173,000.00 for the administration only. No other charges, expenses, fees, markups, or changes to the final approved FF&E will be authorized. Do not include the price for this item in the target construction cost; construction project funds will not be used. These items are funded from a different Government funding source. If the funding for this package is reduced or inflated, the contractor's handling fee will remain the percentage proposed of the adjusted budget.

The Government reserves the unilateral right to award this item to the contractor at the established HAR within **four months** prior to the contract completion date.

3. The Contractor shall be authorized by the Contracting Officer to procure and install all physical security equipment as specified in the approved final design of Physical Security Equipment Systems Package (PSE) which includes Electronic Security Systems (ESS). The amount of this item will be the actual cost of the Physical Security Equipment (PSE) items, Electronic Security System (ESS) items and the respective cabling for each Electronic Security System plus all labor, material, equipment, transportation and supervision required to install and test a complete operating turn key system for all required security systems within the contract completion date.

Note: All conduits, wire ways, junction boxes, pull stations, conduit support and wire way support systems listed above are excluded from this option item and shall be priced with Item 0001 – Base Price, as appropriate.

4. The design for all work in Items 0002 and 0003 are to be included in Item 0001 – Base Price.
5. The Government reserves the unilateral right to award Items 0002 and 0003 to the contractor at the proposed price within 365 calendar days after award.
6. FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options (Jul 1990) – Except when it is determined in accordance with FAR 17.206 (b) not to be in the Government's best interest, the Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).
7. FAR 52.211-18, Variation in Estimated Quantity (Apr 1984) – If the quantity of a unit-priced item in this contract is an estimated quantity and the actual quantity of the unit-priced item varies more than 15 percent above or below the estimated quantity, an equitable adjustment in the contract price shall be made upon demand of either party. The equitable adjustment shall be based upon any increase or decrease in costs due solely to the variation above 115 percent or below 85 percent of the estimated quantity. If the quantity variation is such as to cause an increase in the time necessary for completion, the Contractor may request, in writing, an extension of time, to be received by the Contracting Officer within 10 days from the beginning of the delay, or within such further period as may be granted by the Contracting Officer before the date of final settlement of the contract. Upon the receipt of a written

request for an extension, the Contracting Officer shall ascertain the facts and make an adjustment for extending the completion date as, in the judgment of the Contracting Officer, is justified.

8. The Government may reject an offer as nonresponsive if it is materially unbalanced as to prices for the basic requirement and the option quantities. An offer is unbalanced when it is based on prices significantly less than cost for some work and prices which are significantly overstated for other work.

AMENDMENTS ACKNOWLEDGED

| Amendment No. | Date | Amendment No. | Date | Amendment No. | Date |
|---------------|------|---------------|------|---------------|------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Offeror: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____

Fax: _____

Email: _____

Name: _____

Signed: _____

Date: _____